

# Tennessee Department of Environment and Conservation Division of Water Pollution Control Enforcement and Compliance Section L&C Annex, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor, 401 Church Street Nashville, TN 37243 (615) 532-0625

Small Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4) Annual Report **MS4 Information** City of Kingsport Name of MS4 Daniel Wankel Name of Contact Person (423) 224-2727 Telephone (including area code) 1644 Fort Henry Drive Mailing Address **Kingsport** TN 37664 City ZIP code State What is the current population of your MS4? 45,500 What is the reporting period for this annual report? From 7/1/10 to 6/30/11 2. PROTECTION OF STATE OR FEDERALLY LISTED SPECIES A. Do any of the MS4 discharges or discharge-related activities likely to jeopardize ☐ Yes ⊠ No any state or federally listed species (Part 3, Special Conditions, General Permit for Phase II MS4s) B. Please attach the determination of the effect of the MS4 discharges on state or federally listed species per sub-part 3.2.1 3. WATER QUALITY PRIORITIES A. Does your MS4 discharge to waters listed as impaired on your state 303(d) list? ⊠ Yes □ No B. If yes, identify each impaired water, the impairment(s), whether a TMDL has been approved by EPA for each, and whether the TMDL identifies your MS4 as a source of the impairment.

		-				
Impaired Water	Impairment	Approved TMDL		MS4 Assigned to WLA		
Madd Branch	Physical Substrate Habitat Alterations, Channelization	⊠ Yes	□No	⊠ Yes	□No	
Tranbarger Branch	Other Anthropogenic Habitat Alterations	⊠ Yes	□No	⊠ Yes	□ No	
Reedy Creek	Loss of Biological Integrity due to Siltation. Other Anthropogenic Habitat Alterations	⊠ Yes	□No	⊠ Yes	□ No	
	See Attachment for Remaining Impaired Waters					

Impaired Water	Impairment	Approved TMDL	MS4 Assigned to WLA
	Alteration in stream-side or littoral		8
	vegetative cover. Loss of		
Little Horse Creek	biological integrity due to siltation	No	No
	Alteration is stream-side or littoral		
Horse Creek	vegetative cover. Escherichia coli	No	Yes
	Habitat loss due to alterations in		
Unnamed Tributary	stream-side or littoral vegetative		
to South Fork	cover. Loss of biological integrity		
Holston River	due to siltation. Eschericia coli.	Yes	No
	Alteration in stream-side or littoral	105	110
	vegetative cover. Loss of		
Fall Creek	biological integrity due to siltation	No	Yes
Unnamed Tributary	Loss of biological integrity due to	110	1 05
to Reedy Creek	siltation	No	Yes
	Loss of biological integrity due to	110	105
Clark Branch	siltation	No	Yes
	Habitat loss due to alterations in	110	1 05
	stream-side or littoral vegetative		
Gravelly Creek	cover	No	Yes
	Loss of biological integrity due to	1,0	100
Miller Branch	siltation. Escherichia coli	No	Yes
	Habitat loss due to alterations in		
	stream-side or littoral vegetative		
Gammon Creek	cover	Yes	Yes
	Loss of biological integrity due to		
	siltation. Habitat loss due to		
	alterations in stream-side or		
Timbertree Branch	littoral vegetative cover.	No	Yes
Booher Creek	Escherichia coli	No	No
	Habitat loss due to alterations in		
Unnamed Tributary	stream-side or littoral vegetative		
	cover. Loss of biological integrity		
	due to siltation. Eschericia coli.	Yes	No
	Low dissolved oxygen. Habitat		
	loss due to stream flow alterations.		
River	Thermal modifications.	No	No
	Habitat loss due to alteration in		•
	stream-side or littoral vegetative		
	cover. Loss of biological integrity		
Wagner Creek	due to siltation. Escherichia coli.	Yes	Yes

		Small Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4) Annual Rep	ort				
	C. What specific sources of these pollutants of concern are you targeting? Construction site and MS4 runoff sedimen						
	D.	Do you have discharges to any Exceptional TN Waters (ETWs) or Outstanding National Resource Waters (ONRWs)?	☐ Yes	⊠ No			
	E.	Are you implementing additional specific provisions to ensure the continued integrity of ETWs or ONRWS located within your jurisdiction?	☐ Yes	□No			
4.	Pυ	BLIC EDUCATION AND PUBLIC PARTICIPATION					
	A.	Is your public education program targeting specific pollutants and sources of those pollutants?	⊠ Yes	□No			
	B.	If yes, what are the specific causes, sources and/or pollutants addressed by your public edu	cation progra	m? <u>MS4</u>			
an	id co C.	nstruction site runoff, illicit discharges targeting sediment, oil and grease.  Note specific successful outcome(s) (NOT tasks, events, publications) fully or partially attended to the education program during this reporting period. Downward trend in amount of debris collection cleanups. Increase in number of complaints from website, hotline and public signage.	ributable to yo	our public ream			
	D.	Do you have an advisory committee or other body comprised of the public and other stakeholders that provides regular input on your stormwater program?	⊠ Yes	□No			
	E.	Provide a summary of all public meetings required by the permit. <u>Stormwater Advisory Co</u> 4/12/11, 5/17/11 and 6/14/11 to provide community input into the establishment of a utility	ommittee met y and user rate	<u>on</u> 2.			
5.		DES AND ORDINANCES REVIEW AND UPDATE					
		Is a completed copy of the EPA Water Quality Scorecard submitted with this report? Include status of implementation of code, ordinance and/or policy revisions associated with stormwater management.	☐ Yes h permanent	⊠ No			
6.	Co	NSTRUCTION					
	A.	Do you have an ordinance or adopted policies stipulating: Erosion and sediment control requirements?	⊠ Yes	□No			
		Other construction waste control requirements?		□ No			
		Requirement to submit construction plans for review?	⊠ Yes	□ No			
		MS4 enforcement authority?	⊠ Yes	□No			
		·	⊠ Yes	□ No			
	В.	How many active construction sites disturbing at least one acre were there in your jurisdict period? 52	ion this report	ting			
		How many of these active sites did you inspect this reporting period? <u>50</u> On average, how many times each, or with what frequency, were these sites inspected (e.g., weekly, monthly, etc.)?	Monthly				
	E.	Do you prioritize certain construction sites for more frequent inspections?  If Yes, based on what criteria? <u>Discharge to impaired streams, environmentally sensitive</u> :	⊠Yes areas and hot	□ No spots			
7.	ILL	ICIT DISCHARGE ELIMINATION					
	A.	Have you completed a map of all outfalls and receiving waters of your storm sewer system?	⊠ Yes	□No			
	B.	Have you completed a map of all storm drain pipes of storm sewer system?	⊠Yes	□ No			
	C. D.	How many outfalls have you identified in your system? 903					
	D. E.	How many of these outfalls have been screened for dry weather discharges? 900  How many of these have been screened more than once? 3					
	F.	What is your frequency for screening outfalls for illicit discharges?  As needed  As needed					
	G.	Do you have an ordinance that effectively prohibits illicit discharges?	⊠ Yes	□No			
	Н.	During this reporting period, how many illicit discharges/illegal connections have you discreported to you)? 11					
	I.	Of those illicit discharges/illegal connections that have been discovered or reported, how m	any have been	1			

CN-1291(Rev.03-10) Page 2 RDA 1663

eliminated?

# Small Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4) Annual Report

ð.	A.	ORMWATER MANAGEMENT FOR MUNICIPAL OPERATIONS  Have stormwater pollution prevention plans (or an equivalent plan) been developed for:		
		All parks, ball fields and other recreational facilities	⊠ Yes	□ No
		All municipal turf grass/landscape management activities	Yes	□ No
		All municipal vehicle fueling, operation and maintenance activities	— ⊠ Yes	□ No
		All municipal maintenance yards	— ⊠ Yes	— □ No
		All municipal waste handling and disposal areas	— ⊠ Yes	— □ No
	B.	Are stormwater inspections conducted at these facilities?	⊠ Yes	□ No
		1. If Yes, at what frequency are inspections conducted? Quarterly	_	
	C.	Have standard operating procedures or BMPs been developed for all MS4 field activities? (e.g., road repairs, catch basin cleaning, landscape management, etc.)	⊠ Yes	□No
	D.	Do you have a prioritization system for storm sewer system and permanent BMP inspections?	⊠ Yes	□ No
	E.	On average, how frequently are catch basins and other inline treatment systems inspected	d? <u>2/year</u>	
	F.	On average, how frequently are catch basins and other inline treatment systems cleaned of	out/maintained?	2/year
	G.	Do municipal employees in all relevant positions and departments receive comprehensive training on stormwater management?	⊠ Yes	□ No
	H.	If yes, do you also provide regular updates and refreshers?	⊠ Yes	□ No
		If so, how frequently and/or under what circumstances? <u>No less than annually</u>		
9	PEF A.	RMANENT STORMWATER CONTROLS  Do you have an ordinance or other mechanism to require:		
		Site plan reviews of all new and re-development projects?	⊠ Yes	□No
		Maintenance of stormwater management controls?	⊠ Yes	□No
		Retrofitting of existing BMPs with green infrastructure BMPs?	☐ Yes	⊠ No
	В	What is the threshold for new/redevelopment stormwater plan review? (e.g., all projects, greater than one acre, etc.) <u>All projects</u>	projects disturb	ing
	C.	Have you implemented and enforced performance standards for permanent stormwater controls?	⊠ Yes	□No
	D.	Do these performance standards go beyond the requirements found in paragraph <b>4.2.5.2</b> a development hydrology be met for:	and require that p	pre-
		Flow volumes	⊠ Yes	□No
		Peak discharge rates	⊠ Yes	□No
		Discharge frequency	⊠ Yes	□No
		Flow duration	⊠ Yes	□No
	E.	Please provide the URL/reference where all permanent stormwater management standard	ls can be found.	
		http://publicworks.kingsporttn.gov/files/publicworks/Stormwater-Management-Manual.p	<u>odf</u>	
	F.	How many development and redevelopment project plans were reviewed for this reporting	g period?	<u>21</u>
	G.	How many development and redevelopment project plans were approved? 19		
	H.	How many permanent stormwater management practices/facilities were inspected?	<u>71</u>	
	I.	How many were found to have inadequate maintenance? 8		

		l Municipal Separa			_		
J.		y were notified and re mainder are on complia					
K.	How many enforce	ement actions were tak	en that address inade	quate maintenance?5			
L.		etronic tool (e.g., GIS, or, inspections and main		t) to track post-		⊠ Yes	□ No
M.	M. Do all municipal departments and/or staff (as relevant) have access to this tracking system?					⊠ Yes	□No
N.	Has the MS4 devel sites?	oped a program to allo	ow for incentive stand	lards for redeveloped		⊠ Yes	□No
Ο.	How many mainter	nance agreements has t	the MS4 approved du	ring the reporting per	iod? 3		
10. En	number of actions,	he following types of e the minimum measure h you do not have auth	e (e.g., construction, i	ou used during the re	porting anent sto	period, in ormwater	ndicate the control) or
	Action	Construction	Permanent Stormwater Controls	Illicit Discharge		Authori	ity?
Noti	ce of violation	# <u>66</u>	# <u>5</u>	# <u>2</u>	$\boxtimes$	Yes	□No
Adm	inistrative fines	# <u>10</u>	# <u>3</u>	#	$\boxtimes$	Yes	□ No
Stop	Work Orders	# <u>1</u>	#	#	$\boxtimes$	Yes	□ No
Civi	penalties	# <u>1</u>	#	#		Yes	□ No
Crim	inal actions	# <u>1</u>	#	#		Yes	□ No
Adm	inistrative orders	# <u>10</u>	# <u>3</u>	#		Yes	□ No
Othe	r	#	#	#			
B.	Do you use an electinspection results, a	cronic tool (e.g., GIS, cand enforcement action	lata base, spreadsheet ns in your jurisdiction	t) to track the location?	S,	⊠ Yes	□No
C.	What are the 3 most inspection, recordk	t common types of vio eeping and full impler	lations documented d nentation of SWPPP	luring this reporting p	eriod? <u>I</u> 1	<u>nadequate</u>	owner
	OGRAM RESOURCES		1 (1 :				
A.	past reporting period	ual expenditure to imp d? \$590,000	lement the requireme	nts of your MS4 NPL	)ES per	mit and S	WMP this
B.	B. What is next year's budget for implementing the requirements of your MS4 NPDES permit and SWMP?						
C.	\$810,000 Do you have an inde	ependent financing me	echanism for your sto	rmwater program?		□ Vaa	<b>N</b> .
D.		thev (e.g., stormwater				☐ Yes this mec	⊠ No hanism?

# How many full time employees does your municipality devote to the stormwater program (specifically for implementing the stormwater program vs. municipal employees with other primary responsibilities that dovetail

E.

F.

**Entity** 

Source:

Source:

Do you share program implementation responsibilities with any other entities? Activity/Task/Responsibility

<u>7</u>

☐ Yes Your Oversight/Accountability Mechanism

Amount \$

Amount \$

### 12. EVALUATING/MEASURING PROGRESS

with stormwater issues)?

### Small Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4) Annual Report

A. What indicators do you use to evaluate the overall effectiveness of your Stormwater Management Program, how long have you been tracking them, and at what frequency? Not that these are not measurable goals for individual BMPs or tasks, but large-scale or long-term metrics for the overall program, such as in-stream macroinvertebrate community indices, measures of effective impervious cover in the watershed, indicators of in-stream hydrologic stability, etc?

Indicator	Began Tracking (year)	Frequency	<b>Number of Locations</b>
Example: E. coli	2003	Weekly April-September	20
		V-0.00	
evaluate the performand include a comparison of 13. STORMWATER MANAGE	ee of permanent stormwater conformer and past permanent stormer PROGRAM UPDATE	ntion, performance data, modelintrols installed throughout the sormwater control practices	system. This evaluation may
permit		agraph <b>4.4.2.b</b> of the permit.	
Information (e.g. additional a urbanized areas.	creage, outfalls, BMPs) on pro-	gram area expansion based on a	annexation or newly
involvement/participation opp 14. CERTIFICATION		•	
This report must be signed	by a ranking elected official in sub-part 6.7.2 of the perm	d or by a duly authorized re	presentative of that person.
supervision in accordance wi information submitted. Base directly responsible for gath belief, true, accurate, and co	th a system designed to assure d on my inquiry of the perso ering the information, the info	all attachments were prepare that qualified personnel prope n or persons who manage the ormation submitted is, to the bare significant penalties for su- ing violations."	erly gather and evaluate the e system, or those persons best of my knowledge and

Annual reports must be submitted in accordance with the requirements of subpart 5.4. (Reporting) of the permit. Annual reports must be submitted to the appropriate Environmental Field Office (EFO) by September 30 of each calendar year, as shown in the table below:

Date

Signature

Printed Name and Title

EFO	Street Address	City	Zip Code	Telephone
Chattanooga	540 McCallie Avenue STE 550	Chattanooga	37402	(423) 634-5745
Columbia	1421 Hampshire Pike	Columbia	38401	(931) 380-3371
Cookeville	1221 South Willow Ave.	Cookeville	38506	(931) 432-4015
Jackson	1625 Hollywood Drive	Jackson	38305	(731) 512-1300
Johnson City	2305 Silverdale Road	Johnson City	37601	(423) 854-5400
Knoxville	3711 Middlebrook Pike	Knoxville	37921	(865) 594-6035
Memphis	8383 Wolf Lake Drive	Bartlett	38133	(901) 371-3000
Nashville	711 R S Gass Boulevard	Nashville	37216	(615) 687-7000